## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ADRIAN CARBAJAL,		)	
	Petitioner,	) )	
v.		)	1:14CV538
		)	
MR. R. MCCOY,		)	
		)	
	Respondent.	)	

## ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of North Carolina, submitted a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in state custody. For the following reasons, the Petition cannot be further processed.

- 1. The filing fee was not received, nor was an affidavit to proceed *in forma pauperis* submitted and signed by Petitioner.
- 2. Petitioner did not properly complete the required § 2254 Form, but instead completed only part of the form and did not submit some pages of the form. Rule 2, R. Gov. § 2254 Cases. The Clerk will forward to Petitioner the proper forms. Petitioner must complete and return the entire form if he wishes to file a habeas petition.

Because of these pleading failures, the Petition should be filed and then dismissed, without prejudice to Petitioner filing a new petition on the proper habeas corpus forms with the \$5.00 filing fee, or a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and otherwise correcting the defects noted. The Court has no authority to toll the statute of limitation, therefore it continues to run, and Petitioner must act quickly if he wishes to pursue this

petition. See Spencer v. Sutton, 239 F.3d 626 (4th Cir. 2001). To further aid Petitioner, the

Clerk is instructed to send Petitioner a new application to proceed in forma pauperis, new

§ 2254 forms, and instructions for filing a § 2254 petition, which Petitioner should follow.

*In forma pauperis* status will be granted for the sole purpose of entering this Order

and Recommendation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that in forma pauperis status is granted for the sole

purpose of entering this Order and Recommendation. The Clerk is instructed to send

Petitioner § 2254 forms, instructions, and a current application to proceed in forma pauperis.

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be filed, but then dismissed sua sponte

without prejudice to Petitioner filing a new petition which corrects the defects of the current

Petition.

This, the 1st day of July, 2014.

/s/ L. Patrick Auld

L. Patrick Auld

**United States Magistrate Judge** 

-2-